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Project Goal

To investigate whether a novel type of a financial instrument, a social bond, can pool resources across multiple competing health plans and create stable, long-term financing for interventions that address social drivers of health (SDH)

Key Features:

• Focus on Medicaid population
• Allow multiple health plans participating in Minnesota’s Medicaid program to collectively issue and administer the bond
  • Also consider public-private partnership allowing the state Medicaid agency to participate
• Funds raised from investors will finance community-based interventions targeting social issues such as food insecurity, housing instability, transportation, and structural racism
• These interventions result in healthcare cost savings, which are then used to pay investors back (with interest)
The Problem

• Social Drivers of Health (SDH): includes structural racism, food insecurity, housing instability, education, transportation, safety, employment and other socioeconomic and environmental factors
  • Shape the well-being and health of individuals
  • Impact racial and health equity
  • Drive a large fraction of avoidable adverse health outcomes and healthcare costs

• Community-based, social services organizations, and public health programs are well positioned to address the SDH needs of their communities.
  • However, they are underfunded and lack sustainable funding
  • As a result, it is difficult to scale SDH interventions and difficult to offer continuity of access to social care
Why focus on Medicaid?

1. Complex and extreme SDH complications that interfere with patients’ ability to adhere to care
2. The impact of addressing these needs to improve racial and health equity is elevated.
3. State Medicaid programs have actionable policy levers and flexibility to address SDH needs of their communities.
   • Based on 2019 data, about 40 states are working to address social needs with a wide range of activities through Section 1115 demonstration waivers and managed care contracts (DeSalvo & Leavitt, 2019)
Medicaid and Minnesota


Medicaid is an important source of access for Black, American Indian/Alaska Native, Hispanic/Latino Minnesotans

“Minnesota paradox” – Dr. Samuel Myers

Significant racial disparities – income inequality, home ownership, education access, food insecurity, employment loss, mortality

Minnesota Medicaid Program has the key levers to address and ensure racial equity
The wrong-pocket problem

• SDH interventions result in health improvements over a long period of time
• The return on SDH investments by Medicaid Managed Care Organizations (MCOs) are largely realized in the long-run as “costs savings” (for example, avoided ED visits, readmissions)
• However, a given MCO is not guaranteed to realize these future returns given the volatility in Medicaid enrollment primarily because:
  1) Medicaid enrollment has a high churn rate with month-to-month eligibility changes, entry, exit, and plan switching; and
  2) Through the competitive bidding process for Medicaid contracts, the MCO may lose their contracts and enrollees before full benefits of their SDH investments are realized.

Result: Inefficiently Low SDH Investments
How about…MCOs jointly invest in SDH?

One approach would be to have each MCO invest $X today
  • Implementation? How large is X? Enrollee MCO switching, churn?
  • We have not yet solved the wrong pocket problem!

Our proposed idea:
We could raise funds in the market through a savings bond jointly issued by multiple MCOs.

How does a bond work?
A bond is a financial contract that is sold by an institution (company, government, etc) to investors

Promised cash flows back to investors are split between MCOS: will be calculated based on share of cost savings that would accrue to each, addressing the “wrong-pocket” problem
But not just any bond: A Social Bond

The bond would be issued jointly by multiple MCOs (Special Purpose Vehicle-SPV), sold to individual investors.

Investors buy the bond for a certain price → funds are raised

- Funds used for investments into Social Drivers of Health (SDH) programs
- In exchange, the MCOs promise to pay investors some money (principal and interest) in the future
- Enrollees have improved health outcomes, cost savings are realized
- MCOs pay back investors proportional to estimated cost savings
What problems does the bond solve?

1. **Harnesses the power of broader capital market**
   Engaging socially minded individual investors directly, and allowing funds to be raised quickly to be allocated to SDH projects rather than asking MCOs to put in large sums of money up front.

2. **Diversification and Risk Pooling**
   Pooling of funds and ability to invest across multiple SDH projects for a large group of individuals reduces financial risks.

3. **Risk Sharing across MCOs**
   Joint issuance with multiple MCOs enables risk-sharing coupled with future cost-savings benefits.

4. **Continuity and Patient access**
   Allowing access to programs even if the person moves from one program to the other (all MCOs issuing the bond would support the same SDH programs).

5. **Bond payment follows patient**
   Allows for tracking beneficiaries of SDH programs, and thus provides a mechanism to calculate what percent of the outstanding bonds need to be paid by each MCO.

6. **Role for public-private partnership**
   State Medicaid agency for example may want to subsidize in exchange for supporting SDH project that may not have large financial return, but large health equity, racial justice impact.
Our Study Aims

Aim 1
Design and prototype the social bond

- Identify key parameters and features of the bond (i.e. maturity)
  - Stakeholder engagement

- Environmental scan of the ROI on SDH programs, assessing returns in two domains:
  - Economic returns in terms of costs savings
  - Health equity and racial equity impact

Aim 2
Evaluate the performance of the SDH interventions funded by the social bond using simulation methods

- Examine whether the social bond will be attractive to investors, thus allowing funding to flow to SDH interventions, and allow cost savings for MCOs providing an incentive for them to participate
  - Simulate a hypothetical portfolio of SDH interventions (informed by Aim 1) under alternative scenarios

Both the design and evaluation of the social bond will incorporate racial and health equity impact as well as financial returns
Community participatory approaches
Engage local health and social service stakeholders in the design of the bond instrument.

Monte Carlo simulation methods are used to estimate the bond’s impact on health and social outcomes for racial and ethnic minority populations over time, while also estimating economic returns to health plans, Medicaid and other investors.

Literature Search, key informant interviews, and focus groups
Assess the returns from SDH initiatives:
1. Economic returns in terms of cost savings.
2. Health equity & racial equity impact.

Create a taxonomy of the type of SDH initiatives to create a hypothetical portfolio of SDH initiatives that the proceeds from the bond can fund.
Stakeholder Engagement in Design

Bond Design: How do we set up the social bond?
- What are some technical features that need to be decided on (i.e. Special Purpose Vehicle), legal framework, standards?
- How do we decide on key bond features such as maturity, price, coupon rate, interest rates etc.
- What are implementation challenges?

SDH Initiatives: What do we know about the types of SDH initiatives?
- How long was the implementation period?
- Whom did they serve and what was the impact on health equity and racial equity?
- What outcomes were measured (i.e. rates of food insecurity, hospitalizations)?
- Was there a change in outcomes?
- How does the change in outcomes translate to cost savings?
Preliminary Literature Review

- Pubmed and SIREN (https://sirenetwork.ucsf.edu/tools/evidence-library)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peer reviewed</th>
<th>Food &amp; Hunger</th>
<th>Housing Stability</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>158</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Investing in Interventions That Address Non-Medical, Health-Related Social Needs

Proceedings of a Workshop

The National Academies of Sciences · Engineering · Medicine

ROI Calculator for Partnerships to Address the Social Determinants of Health

Review of Evidence for Health-Related Social Needs Interventions

Mekdes Tsega, Corinne Lewis, Douglas McCarthy, Tanya Shah, and Kayla Coutts
Various payer & provider driven programs

An Rx for Good Health: Geisinger Launches Fresh Food Pharmacy
Low-income diabetic patients treated in planned pilot program

How Payers Scale Social Determinants of Health Goals
Humanitas Bold Goals program highlights ways that payers can scale their social determinants of health strategies across lines of business and demographics.
Creating a taxonomy of programs

Through stakeholder engagement and focus groups, sort the SDH projects along two dimensions:

Financial Return
- Assess the likelihood of success and the size of the NPV and ROI associated with each SIH project
- Convert outcomes (i.e. reduced food insecurity) to $ (i.e. cost savings) using literature and data analyses (i.e. MEPS)

Racial Justice & Health Equity
- Assess the extent to which the SDH project will impact different racial and ethnic groups and its potential to reduce health inequities broadly
- Several toolkits available
  - Racial Equity Impact Assessment (REIA) Toolkit
  - Government Alliance on Race & Equity (GARE) toolkit
Selecting SDH projects for simulation

Figure 1: Project Impact Taxonomy

- **Quadrant I**: High S, High F
- **Quadrant II**: Low S, High F
- **Quadrant III**: Low S, Low F
- **Quadrant IV**: High S, Low F

1. Select a set of projects from Quadrant I
2. Estimate the performance of portfolio using Monte Carlo simulations - ROI and Social ROI (SROI)
3. Start blending projects from Quadrant IV
4. Estimate the performance of portfolio

**Public-Private Partnership**
Alternative Bond Features and Structures

• Informed by stakeholder engagement, consider alternative bond features and funding structures

• Vary the maturity structure (3 years, 5 years, etc), coupon payments (pay returns annually, how much) and other structural elements (call features)

• Our baseline considers a purely private structure with multiple MCOs
  • Vary the number of participating MCOs, and their enrollee population
  • Public-private partnership model, for example, a government-backed social bond in which a portion of the losses may be covered by a public entity may attract more investors

• Risk-sharing with investors – returns are paid only if certain outcomes are achieved (similar to social impact bonds)
Relatively new, emerging area of finance

- Increasing attention to Environmental, Social and Governance ("ESG") strategies for investments over the last decade
- Initially referred to as the Green Bond Market (specific to environmental issues); evolving variations of bonds
  - Green Finance
  - Sustainable Finance
  - Social Finance
  - Sustainability-Linked
- For the full year 2021, a total of $1.6 trillion of bonds or loans were issued across all different market types, up from $148 billion in 2016
- Since 2020, with the pandemic, Sustainability-linked Bonds have been the fastest growing segment of the market (primarily through European government agencies)
- New Bond Principles and Guidelines are being developed
Next Steps

• Continue peer-reviewed and gray literature reviews
• Start key informant interviews
• Review social bond principles/standards/frameworks
• Review simulation methodologies and start building numerical exercises for bond returns
• Stakeholder engagement
  • Assessing SDH projects based on financial, racial and health equity returns
  • Bond features
• Build simulation models
• Manuscript preparation and dissemination
Questions?

www.systemsforaction.org

@Systems4Action
If you would like to receive a **certificate of completion** for today’s ResProg webinar, please complete the survey at the end of the session.

One will be emailed to you.
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March 16th: Integrating Health and Social Services through a Novel Independent Practice Association - Stanford University School of Medicine

April 13: Collaborative Approach to Public Good Investments with the Urban Institute - Urban Institute
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https://systemsforaction.org/research-progress-webinars
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